

# **AGENDA**

## **SUPPLEMENTARY**

**Council**  
**Tuesday 27 September 2022 at 10.30am**

## Northland Regional Council Supplementary/Tabled Agenda

Meeting to be held in the Council Chamber  
36 Water Street, Whangārei  
on Tuesday 27 September 2022, commencing at 10.30am

**Recommendations contained in the council agenda are NOT council decisions. Please refer to council minutes for resolutions.**

Item	Page
<b>7.0 SUPPLEMENTARY ITEMS</b>	
7.8 NRC Tiriti Organisational Review (Te Tiriti Health Check)	3

**TITLE:** NRC Tiriti Organisational Review (Te Tiriti Health Check)

**From:** Auriole Ruka, Pou Manawhakahaere - GM Governance and Engagement and Kim Peita, Maori Relationships Manager

**Authorised by Group Manager/s:** Auriole Ruka, Pou Manawhakahaere - GM Governance and Engagement, on 23 September 2022

---

### Executive summary/Whakarāpopototanga

The purpose of this report is to present council's Long-Term Plan (LTP) Te Tiriti Organisational Review (Te Tiriti Health Check) for receipt by council. This independent review is provided in the form of a final report and recommendations as prepared by consultants Buddle Findlay and Whaia Legal (**Attachment 1**).

Both Buddle Findlay and Whaia Legal were engaged in the development of the Te Tiriti Health Check as they have had significant experience in working with tangata whenua and councils across the country in Te Tiriti based matters.

At the formal council meeting held on the 26 July 2022 council formally adopted Tāiki ē (NRC Te Tiriti Strategy and Implementation Plan). As such, the Te Tiriti Health Check is an annual review framework encompassed within Tāiki ē (Priority Action 1) that provides for an independent report with recommendations for constant improvement as to how council supports and uphold its Te Tiriti commitments in partnership with iwi and hapū in Te Taitokerau.

Given that this is the first time that council has embarked on this process, it must be acknowledged that it could not have been achieved without the hard work and contribution of the Te Taitokerau Māori and Council Working Party (TTMAC). At the TTMAC formal meeting held on the 29 August 2022, TTMAC provided valuable feedback and kōrero on the draft Te Tiriti Health Check Report and commended both council and consultants for capturing the aspirations and collective voices of the hapū and iwi represented. Furthermore, TTMAC endorsed the Te Tiriti Health Check and the integrity of the process undertaken by Buddle Findlay and Whaia Legal as it recognised the unique context of Te Taitokerau and the importance of He Whakaputanga o Ngā Rangatiratanga o Niu Tirenī for the incoming council to consider.

---

### Recommendations

1. That the report 'NRC Tiriti Organisational Review (Te Tiriti Health Check)' by Auriole Ruka, Pou Manawhakahaere - GM Governance and Engagement and Kim Peita, Maori Relationships Manager and dated 15 September 2022, be received.
2. That council receive the final report and recommendations of the Te Tiriti Organisational Review (Te Tiriti Health Check) prepared by Buddle Findlay and Whaia Legal (subject to any final minor, graphic, structural or grammatical changes or amendments recommended by the Chief Executive Officer).

## Options

No.	Option	Advantages	Disadvantages
1	Council receives the Te Tiriti Health Check Report and Recommendations.	<p>Aligns with council's commitment to Tāiki ē and Priority Action 1.</p> <p>Te Tiriti o Waitangi and strengthens partnerships with tangata whenua.</p> <p>Provides clear strategic direction both at a governance and operational level to give effect to Te Tiriti o Waitangi.</p> <p>Provides a robust starting point for the incoming council for the understanding of Te Tiriti o Waitangi and Te Taitokerau context, partnership and obligations.</p>	<p>Increased workload for staff, elected and non-elected members.</p> <p>Raises expectations of iwi and hapū for council to respond appropriately in a complex environment.</p>
2	Council does not receive Te Tiriti Health Check.	No extra resource required for unbudgeted areas or action points that may arise from the recommendations.	<p>Undermines council's commitment to partnerships and will receive negative reactions from tangata whenua partners.</p> <p>Significant amount of work and good will from TTMAC would be lost and underutilised.</p> <p>Counter-productive to the implementation of Tāiki ē.</p>

The staff's recommended option is Option 1 – Council receives the Te Tiriti Health Check report and recommendations.

## Considerations

### 1. Climate Impact

The Te Tiriti Health Check will assist to facilitate meaningful relationships with iwi and hapū as it will improve council's ability to respond appropriately to climate implications with iwi and hapū. This will be evident in council's ability to discuss important topics related to climate

change particularly as iwi and hapu are significantly impacted by floods, droughts and sea level rises in a way that recognises and respects the world view of Māori, specifically in how it translates within a mātauranga Māori framework and methodology.

## **2. Environmental Impact**

The Tiriti Health Check ensures that Tāiki e is upheld and prioritised by council and is constantly reviewing its performance against its LTP and subsequent implementation plans. Tāiki ē includes direct actions relating to environmental monitoring, review of council's regulatory services, and education on environmental and resource management issues. Furthermore, while Tāiki e focuses on capacity, capability and Māori representation, it establishes a framework for looking at the other Ngā Whaingā / Goals which include Water/Marine and Climate Crisis.

## **3. Community views**

Community views have been represented throughout the process via the Te Tiriti Health Check Programme which includes fully elected councillors, non-elected members of TTMAC, staff including the executive leadership team and hapū kaitiaki (as nominated by TTMAC). The positive reception of the Te Tiriti Health Check is evident in the unanimous endorsement of the document by TTMAC on 29 August 2022.

## **4. Māori impact statement**

This report directly relates to a partnership with Māori and recognises a commitment to a Te Tiriti o Waitangi based partnership between council and TTMAC.

## **5. Financial implications**

There are no financial implications as throughout the LTP 2018-2028 consultation processes, financial resources were clearly identified with annual budget provided to undertake and implement the recommendations of the Te Tiriti Health Check.

## **6. Implementation issues**

Recommendations from the Te Tiriti Health Check will be assessed within the context of Tāiki ē. If there are any that do not correlate with Tāiki ē they will be identified in the monitoring and review section of Tāiki ē and incorporated into the next LTP.

## **7. Significance and engagement**

In relation to section 79 of the Local Government Act 2002, this decision is considered to be of low significance when assessed against council's significance and engagement policy because Tāiki e, Te Tiriti Strategy and Implementation Plan has already been adopted by council and TTMAC respectively. Therefore, the Te Tiriti Health Check is council's ongoing commitment to the implementation plan, specifically Priority Action 1. This does not mean that this matter is not of significance to tangata whenua and/or individual communities, but that council is able to make decisions relating to this matter without undertaking further consultation or engagement.

## **8. Policy, risk management and legislative compliance**

The decision is consistent with policy and legislative requirements.

---

## **Background/Tuhinga**

### **Long Term Plan 2018-2028 – Establishment of the Te Tiriti Health Check**

TTMAC have been able to provide valuable input into council's corporate planning processes since 2017 when they became involved in the long-term planning process commencing with the development of the LTP 2018-2028. At this time TTMAC contributed to the development of that

plan via three workshops. The feedback from these workshops was then reported back to TTMAC for review and endorsement. During the workshops, detail about each of council's activities was presented. Activities that were important to the group were discussed in more detail where spending priorities were identified.

In June 2020 it was recommended that staff work alongside the Māori Technical Advisory Group (MTAG), as a mechanism for TTMAC to provide input into the 2021-2031 long-term planning process. In September 2020, the Māori Technical Advisory Group presented their LTP initiatives to TTMAC for review and endorsement. The primary issue was focused on honouring Te Tiriti o Waitangi and He Whakaputanga. Recommendations therefore used this as the primary driver for determining how council should resource itself, to transform into an organisation that not only honours Te Tiriti and He Whakaputanga but promotes the benefits and value of its partnership with tangata whenua.

TTMAC communicated to council that it should build organisational capability when developing its long-term plan underpinned by a programme of *"Te Tiriti Health Checks"*.

Key focus areas to drive change initiatives were identified as:

1. Governance
2. Relationships with Māori (specifically tangata whenua)
3. Structural considerations
4. Workforce capability
5. Environment, and
6. Policy development and service delivery.

These initiatives were summarised into five priority initiative groups relevant across council:

1. Independent evaluation and treaty health check
2. Māori representation and leadership
3. Enabling tāngata whenua participation
4. Enhancing the value of TTMAC, including their representation on working parties
5. Taitokerau kotahitanga advocacy and investment fund - Taitokerau Forward Together.

During March/April 2021, community feedback was requested on council's LTP consultation document and supporting documents. A number of initiatives were included for consultation including, for the first time, council plans to carry out a 'health check audit' of their legislative compliance and key performance indicators with Treaty of Waitangi/Te Tiriti o Waitangi obligations and best practice within the local government sector. Following consultation, staff reviewed the community feedback received and provided further recommendations to council to aid in their deliberations. As a result of community feedback and deliberations, council resolved to make no changes to the recommendations.

Council recognised the importance of meeting its Te Tiriti o Waitangi responsibilities and undertaking an independent review of how council is meeting these legislative responsibilities as an important part of ensuring these obligations are met. In June 2021 the TTMAC initiatives were adopted into the Long Term Plan 2021-2031. Council have budgeted \$50,000 in 2021/22, and \$25,000 each year following. In addition, \$100,000 is proposed each year from 2022/23 for implementing the health check, ensuring budget is available to make any required changes to plans, policy, or other operations, as highlighted by the health check.

During the LTP process MTAG indicated early on their preference to utilise an already established framework and recommended to staff the “Te Arawhiti capability framework” as the basis for developing the health check and this was subsequently endorsed by TTMAC. Using the Te Arawhiti organisational capability framework, TTMAC provided an initial evaluation as to where council were currently positioned (based on knowledge and experience of council) and where they would like to see council in the next 1-5 years.

Capability Component	Competency	Competency Level			
		Unfamiliar	Comfortable	Confident	Capable
Governance	Understanding of Māori Council relations (MCR) priorities		Jun-22	Jun-23	Jun-25
	Relationship management		Jun-22	Jun-23	Jun-25
Relationships with Māori	Engagement, partnerships and empowerment		Jun-22	Jun-23	Jun-25
	Procurement				Jun-22
Structural	Enabling structures		adhoc	Jun-23	Jun-25
	Addressing institutional racism		Jun-22	Jun-24	Jun-25
Workforce capability	MCR relations capability planning				Jun-25
	Leadership				Jun-23
	Recruitment and retention				Jun-23
	Training and development		adhoc	Jun-23	Jun-25
Environment	Physical environment	to work through as a collective			
	Commitment to tikanga Māori			Dec-23	Jun-25
Policy and services	Policy processes			Dec-23	Jun-25
	Service design and delivery			Dec-23	Jun-25
	Evaluation				Jun-22
	Data and insights		Jun-23	Jun-24	Jun-25

### Delivery and commencement of the Te Tiriti Health Check

At the March 2022 meeting the non-elected members of Te Taitokerau Māori and Council Working Party (TTMAC) endorsed that the health check be delivered as a joint executive/governance initiative; the Te Arawhiti framework underpin the Te Tiriti health check; and that the Māori Technical Advisory Group’s (MTAG) benchmarking was a starting position. Three MTAG members Norris, Tautari and Chetham were appointed as the Health Check Advisory Group to assist staff in recommending to council an independent contractor to undertake the Health Check. The Health Check Advisory Group recommended, based on their experience in the areas of Treaty audits and similar reviews across councils in NZ, that Paul Beverley, Buddle Findlay and Tai Ahu, Whāia Legal be contracted as the independent evaluators.

Work commenced with Buddle Findlay and Whaia Legal in June 2022. It is the first time that council have undertaken such a comprehensive approach to Te Tiriti o Waitangi and as such it is an iterative process that involves key stakeholders with a long term vision to build enduring relationships with tangata whenua. A work programme (**Attachment 2**) and possible survey questions were recommended by Buddle Findlay and Whaia Legal to form the basis of the report and recommendations to be presented to TTMAC and council in September 2022 for implementation in the 2022-2023 financial year.

MTAG were endorsed at the TTMAC June 2022 meeting to continue to provide leadership and guidance on the development of the detailed Te Tiriti Health Check assessment process and work programme. The Pou Manawhakahaere – GM Governance and Engagement and Kaiwhakahaere Hononga Māori have worked with the independent contractors on what has been an intense programme of work. As part of the work programme a number workshops (and catch up sessions where required) have been completed with council, MTAG, tāngata whenua members of TTMAC, TTMAC, Executive Leadership Team (ELT) and hapū kaitiaki. A full staff survey was also completed.

Buddle Findlay and Whaia Legal have provided their initial findings and a draft report back to MTAG, a small representative group made up of TTMAC co-chairs, council chair and CE’s (outgoing and incoming), ELT, and TTMAC. Here they were able to receive further feedback and clarification to ensure the integrity and mana of the voices of all those involved were heard and incorporated into the review. At the 9 September TTMAC meeting the draft report was endorsed by TTMAC for recommendation to council for adoption at the September 27, 2022, council meeting (subject to any changes or amendments recommended by TTMAC for the independent assessors to consider).

Below is the updated work programme endorsed at the TTMAC formal meeting on 11 August 2022. The programme was expanded to accommodate additional meetings for further guidance from MTAG and meetings with hapū kaitiaki. Although this resulted in more workload and extension of initial timeframes it was important to allow time for the consultants to reflect tangata whenua views and aspirations in the final report.

Date	Action
Wednesday 27 July, 12.30PM-3.30PM	TTMAC caucus meeting – workshop
Thursday 28 July, 10-11AM	ELT meeting – workshop
Friday 29 July	Invites to be sent to Kaitiaki by Friday 29 July (MRT)
Wednesday 3 August, 10.30AM-12.30PM	Council workshop – workshop
Thursday 4 August	MTAG Meeting (anytime between 2-4pm)
Wednesday 10 August 2PM	ELT catchup session
By Wednesday 10 August	Māori Relationships Manager to share results of internal staff surveys with Providers
Thursday 11 August	Kaitiaki interviews complete
Thursday 11 August	Full TTMAC meeting (anytime between 11-3pm)
Thursday 24 August, 5pm	First draft report due
Thursday 1 September, 10-11AM	ELT meeting – to present draft report and receive any feedback
Thursday 1 September, 2-4PM	MTAG meeting – to present draft report and receive any feedback
Thursday 8 September, 11Am-1PM	TTMAC – to present draft report to TTMAC (full) and receive final feedback before finalising paper to Council
Friday 9 September, 5pm	Draft report to be finalised for the 13 September Council workshop
Tuesday 13 September, 1-2pm	Council workshop – to present final report and recommendations and receive any feedback
Wednesday 14 September, 5pm	Final report to be finalised for Council Agenda Preview Meeting on Tuesday 20 September
Tuesday 27 September, 1-2pm	Council meeting – to present final report with recommendations

### Attachments/Ngā tapirihanga

Attachment 1: Te Tiriti Health Check Report - FINAL  

Attachment 2: Te Tiriti Health Check Work Programme  

**TE TIRITI HEALTH CHECK REPORT**

**BY**

**WHĀIA LEGAL AND BUDDLE FINDLAY**

**FOR**

**TE KAUNIHĒRA Ā ROHE O TE TAITOKERAU / NORTHLAND REGIONAL COUNCIL**

**23 SEPTEMBER 2022**

23 September 2022

## 1. PART ONE: INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Buddle Findlay and Whāia Legal,<sup>1</sup> were engaged by Te Kaunihera ā Rohe o Te Taitokerau / Northland Regional Council (**Council**) to undertake a Te Tiriti health check. The purpose of the Tiriti health check is to provide an independent assessment as to how the Council understands and implements its Te Tiriti o Waitangi obligations in engaging with tangata whenua and mana whenua.

### Scope and process

- 1.2 In March 2022, the Māori Technical Advisory Group (**MTAG**) provided initial direction by using the Te Arawhiti framework and identified the following six priority areas for the Tiriti health check:
- (a) Governance: Understanding of Māori council relations priorities;
  - (b) Relationships with Māori: Relationship management;
  - (c) Relationships with Māori: Engagement, partnerships and empowerment;
  - (d) Relationships with Māori: Procurement;
  - (e) Structural: Addressing institutional racism; and
  - (f) Policy and services: Evaluation.
- 1.3 As part of the work plan developed with the Council, we agreed on proposed questions for the workshops to address the six priority areas. However, it became clear during the course of the workshops, that to allow free and frank discussions between ourselves and participants and to gain the most insight from these workshops, these were better facilitated through broad scope questions, and we could then focus on key issues and challenges / opportunities. The broad scope questions included the following:
- (a) What are the Council's strengths in working with Māori?
  - (b) What are the Council's strengths in working in a manner that is consistent with Te Tiriti and its principles?
  - (c) What can be improved?
- 1.4 The discussions naturally addressed MTAG's six priority areas, noting that some groups spoke to different aspects of the six priority areas.
- 1.5 In undertaking this assessment, we have:
- (a) followed the work plan and scope developed with the Council;
  - (b) focused on the six priority areas identified by MTAG;
  - (c) used the Te Arawhiti framework to assess Council's performance in the six priority areas;
  - (d) reviewed Council documentation provided by the Council as relevant to the six priority areas;
  - (e) conducted workshops with (or received written feedback from) the following groups to obtain feedback on the Council's performance in the six priority areas:

---

<sup>1</sup> Tai Ahu, Raheera Douglas (Whāia Legal) and Paul Beverley, Frances Wedde, Cerridwen Bulow (Buddle Findlay).

**23 September 2022**

- (i) Te Taitokerau Māori and Council Working Party (**TTMAC**) and MTAG;
  - (ii) kaitiaki;
  - (iii) Council Executive Leadership Team; and
  - (iv) Councillors and Council staff;
- (f) prepared a draft report summarising our findings and setting out our recommendations;
- (g) presented the draft report to MTAG, the Executive Leadership Team (**ELT**) and TTMAC and considered any feedback; and
- (h) prepared a final report.
- 1.6 We also note that, although this report is focused on the Council, there are also Council-controlled organisations / entities, whose functions are significant for Te Taitokerau communities. As such, the findings and recommendations in this report will also be relevant to, and will need to be considered by, those organisations / entities.

### **Report structure**

- 1.7 To reflect the structure of our workshops, our report addresses:
- (a) **Part One:** Introduction.
  - (b) **Part Two:** Te Taitokerau context.
  - (c) **Part Three:** What is the current state of the relationship between Māori and the Council?
  - (d) **Part Four:** What is working well?
  - (e) **Part Five:** What are the challenges / opportunities?
  - (f) **Part Six:** Recommendations.
- 1.8 We have addressed the six priority areas, and the Te Arawhiti framework, in our recommendations section. The Te Arawhiti framework was a useful reference point, but we developed a specific framework for this process, which is reflected in the structure of this report.
- 1.9 We recognise and understand that the Tiriti health check, and this report, is just one part of the journey of the relationship between Council and Te Taitokerau Māori.

## **2. PART TWO: TE TAITOKERAU CONTEXT**

- 2.1 In undertaking this Tiriti health check, it is important to understand the unique context of the area serviced by the Council. By unique context, we mean:
- (a) population structure and demographics;
  - (b) iwi and hapū rangatiratanga; and
  - (c) the history and relevance of He Whakaputanga and Te Tiriti o Waitangi together, and Treaty settlements.
- 2.2 We consider each of these elements below.

23 September 2022

- 2.3 We heard that Crown and local government structures have been designed to maintain the 'status quo' and colonial hierarchy where institutions remained in the centre with the power and authority, and others were kept on the outside with little or no influence (not being able to vote was one example). Māori have long been ignored or treated as a party to be consulted, rather than being at the decision-making table as a Tiriti partner. There is a need to ensure that local government and Māori are moving beyond that colonial history and more towards partnership, and we saw positive signs of the beginning of that movement through this health check process.

#### Population structure and demographics

- 2.4 The 2018 census put the population count for the Northland region at over 179,000 people spread over an area of 12,500 km.<sup>2</sup> Of that 179,000 over 64,000 (or 36%) of the population were Māori. Comparatively, the 2018 census found that Māori make up 16.5% of the total population of the country.

#### Iwi and hapū rangatiratanga

- 2.5 Within the Northland region, there are a number of different collectives of iwi and hapū who held and continue to hold rangatiratanga.
- 2.6 Te Puni Kōkiri has identified 12 iwi that have been formally recognised by the Crown whose Tākiwa fall, either partially or wholly, within the region. These are Te Aupōuri, Ngāti Kuri, Ngāti Kahu, Te Rarawa, Ngāi Takoto, Ngāti Kahu ki Whangaroa, Ngāpuhi/Ngati Kahu ki Whaingaroa, Ngāpuhi, Ngātiwai, Te Uri o Hau, Te Roroa and Ngati Whātua.
- 2.7 In its report "He Whakaputanga me te Tiriti", the Waitangi Tribunal recognised the rangatiratanga of hapū at the time of the signing of Te Tiriti. The Tribunal stated that:<sup>3</sup>
- They came from a world in which each hapū was autonomous and exercised power over its own territories, retaining that autonomy even when acting in alliance or concert with other hapū. The rangatira brought also their own individual experiences and concerns, based on the interests of their hapū...
- 2.8 Hapū autonomy remains an important and distinct feature of the Northland region to this day. During interviews, it was noted that the most recent communication between Council staff and hapū leaders identified more than 300 hapū. A number of hapū actively engage with the Council on their own terms and to represent the interests of their hapū members in the rohe where they hold mana whenua.
- 2.9 Some iwi and hapū have entered into deeds of settlement and consequent settlement legislation with the Crown, others have not. Those who have settled have formal arrangements with the Council in accordance with their settlement legislation.

#### He Whakaputanga and Te Tiriti

- 2.10 The unique context of hapū autonomy in Northland is intertwined with views on He Whakaputanga and Te Tiriti. By He Whakaputanga, rangatira from Te Taitokerau declared their own independence and the independence of their country, and asserted their own sovereignty, independent of any other purported law-making power. The Waitangi Tribunal acknowledged that historical accounts

<sup>2</sup> <https://statsnz.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=6f49867abe464f86ac7526552fe19787>.

<sup>3</sup> Waitangi Tribunal *He Whakaputanga me te Tiriti* (Wai 1040, 2014) at 2.

**23 September 2022**

from the time support the position that He Whakaputanga was an assertion of sovereignty in accordance with Māori political understandings of the time, that is, when hapū were the main political unit.

- 2.11 While He Whakaputanga is not referenced in Te Tiriti, the two documents together are an essential statement on the sovereignty of Māori in Northland. The Waitangi Tribunal found that Taitokerau rangatira did not cede sovereignty to the Crown at the time they signed Te Tiriti and our understanding through this process is that Taitokerau Māori continue to maintain that they have not ceded their sovereignty to either central or local government.<sup>4</sup> That position of sovereignty, as envisioned by the rangatira at the time He Whakaputanga was signed, is something that Taitokerau Māori are still striving to achieve.
- 2.12 In our interviews with interested Māori parties, we heard how political and legal structures inherited under the colonial system have alienated Māori participation in governance. In particular, the local government system was designed to maintain English ideals of class structure. To that end, only landowners could vote for a long period of time; women and Māori were excluded from participation in local government. This history of Māori exclusion has resulted in ongoing low participation from Māori.
- 2.13 We heard there needs to be a system change to enable those who have genuine passion for Māori empowerment to be effective. While Māori represent a high proportion of the population of Northland, the system has not been built to account for Māori participation nor for the isolation that occurs with rural communities.
- 2.14 While the Tiriti health check is limited to consideration of Te Tiriti, we recognise the importance of He Whakaputanga as a formal statement of the sovereignty and unity of rangatira in Te Taitokerau. We also acknowledge its essential relevance to the unique context of Taitokerau and have taken this into account in our assessment.

### **3. PART THREE: WHAT IS THE CURRENT STATE OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MĀORI AND THE COUNCIL?**

- 3.1 This section provides an overview of:
  - (a) the legislative context for the relationship between Māori and local government;
  - (b) the existing governance / relationship structures between Māori and the Council; and
  - (c) the existing strategic direction / frameworks for the relationship between Māori and the Council.
- 3.2 Our assessment of what is working well, and what the challenges and opportunities are, is addressed in parts four and five of this report.

#### **Legislative context**

- 3.3 There is no one coherent framework of statutory obligations for the relationship between Māori and local government. Rather, discrete statutory obligations have been developed on a statute-by-

---

<sup>4</sup> Waitangi Tribunal *He Whakaputanga me te Tiriti* (Wai 1040, 2014) at 2.

**23 September 2022**

statute basis over many years, and they are framed in different ways depending on the statute in question.

- 3.4 There are different obligations that may apply to a council depending on the circumstances. For example, under the Resource Management Act 1991 (**RMA**) there are certain obligations that are relevant to a council in its regulatory capacity; and others when acting as an applicant for resource consent (eg when seeking consents for three waters infrastructure). The statutory obligations arise under a range of statutes, including:
- (a) local government legislation (such as the Local Government Act 2002);
  - (b) planning and environmental legislation (such as the RMA);
  - (c) Treaty settlement legislation;
  - (d) customary rights legislation (such as the Marine and Coastal Area (Takutai Moana) Act 2011); and
  - (e) other legislation (such as the Reserves Act).
- 3.5 There are also other obligations to Māori that arise, for example, under RMA national policy statements such as the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010 or the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020.
- 3.6 The specific legal obligations vary depending on the statute and the context, and those differences are important. Obligations may focus on (for example):
- (a) Te Tiriti o Waitangi / the Treaty of Waitangi;
  - (b) recognition of tikanga Māori, values, culture and traditions;
  - (c) understanding of tikanga and mātauranga Māori;
  - (d) customary rights (for example in the Marine and Coastal Area (Takutai Moana) Act context);
  - (e) participation for Māori in local authority decision-making;
  - (f) recognition of areas or resources of particular significance to Māori; and
  - (g) processes such as consultation.
- 3.7 The inconsistencies and gaps in the legislation present challenges to both Māori and councils in terms of understanding what is required in the relationship context.
- 3.8 One important matter to be explored further is the extent to which the legislation is enabling or constraining in terms of advancing the partnership aspirations of the parties.

#### **Governance / relationship structures**

- 3.9 The governance structure for the Council is made up of:
- (a) a full Council comprising of nine elected Councillors (as the ultimate decision-making body for Council matters);
  - (b) joint committees;
  - (c) statutory bodies;

23 September 2022

- (d) co-governance bodies;
  - (e) sub-committees; and
  - (f) working parties.
- 3.10 All joint committees, sub-committees and working parties are required to regularly report progress on their functions to the Council. In addition to those governance structures, there are also a number of collaborative community working groups.
- 3.11 Māori are currently represented at governance level through representation / membership on:
- (a) certain joint committees, including:
    - (i) Joint Climate Change Adaptation Committee;
    - (ii) Kaipara Moana Remediation Joint Committee; and
    - (iii) the Civil Defence and Emergency Management Group;
  - (b) a statutory body, being the Te Oneroa-a-Tōhe Board, which was established through Treaty settlements and is a joint committee for the management of Te Oneroa-a-Tōhe (90 Mile Beach);
  - (c) working parties, including:
    - (i) TTMAC Working Party;
    - (ii) Climate Change Working Party;
    - (iii) Planning and Regulatory Working Party;
    - (iv) Biosecurity and Biodiversity Working Party; and
    - (v) Water and Land Working Party; and
  - (d) other groups, such as:
    - (i) MTAG;
    - (ii) Local Government Elections subgroup;
    - (iii) Strategic (Priorities) Intent subgroup 2021; and
    - (iv) Tāngata Whenua Water Advisory Group.
- 3.12 Members of TTMAC make up the representation / membership on the other working parties and the other groups.<sup>5</sup> The working parties and groups do not have any formal decision-making delegations from the Council.
- 3.13 The Local Government Elections subgroup, and Strategic (Priorities) Intent subgroup are examples of groups formed for specific projects or pieces of work throughout a triennium to ensure the Council has iwi and hapū expertise and perspectives.
- 3.14 Māori will also be represented in the full council following the 2022 local body elections. In October 2020, the Councillors agreed to establish Māori constituencies and formally introduce Māori seats to

---

<sup>5</sup> A TTMAC member is also a representative on the Joint Climate Change Adaptation Committee.

23 September 2022

the full council. We understand it is intended that two of the nine Councillors elected will be from the Māori constituencies.

3.15 In addition there is:

- (a) Te Kahu o Taonui, the Iwi Chairs Forum which is autonomous from Council; and
- (b) the Iwi and Local Government Agency Chief Executives Forum (**ILGACE**).

*Kaipara Moana Remediation Joint Committee*

3.16 The Kaipara Moana Remediation Joint Committee oversees the Kaipara Moana Remediation Programme. The committee is made up of six Kaipara Uri and six council representatives (three from Auckland Council and three from Northland Regional Council). A memorandum of understanding was signed in October 2020 by central government, councils and Kaipara Uri to formalise the equal partnership between Kaipara Uri and the councils to undertake the remediation programme.

*Te Oneroa-a-Tōhe Board*

3.17 The Board is a co-governance partnership established through the Te Hiku Treaty settlement legislation. The board comprises of members from four iwi and members from the Far North District Council and Northland Regional Council. The purpose of the board is to provide governance and direction to those with a role or responsibility relating to Te Oneroa-a-Tōhe.

*TTMAC*

3.18 TTMAC was established in 2014, and initially operated as a standing committee (Te Tai Tokerau Māori Advisory Committee). Due to limitations of standing orders and how the committee could operate, it was decided that TTMAC would better operate as a working party. Prior to 2014, TTMAC (or similar constructs) operated / participated in Council processes. New Terms of Reference were agreed in April 2020 (**ToR**). By way of summary, the ToR provide as follows:

- (a) the Te Kaupapa / Mission statement;
- (b) He Tirohanga Māori / Vision Statement 2030;
- (c) Ngā Ture / Values and Ngā whaingā / Objectives;
- (d) membership of TTMAC consists of:
  - (i) 21 appointed iwi and hapū members (one representative per iwi and hapū); and
  - (ii) nine elected members (Councillors);
- (e) TTMAC meets up to 10 times a year (either formally or for workshops at marae focusing on local issues) and provides a summary report to the Council after each meeting;
- (f) the Council pays the costs for meeting, mileage and attendance at other working parties for non-elected members of TTMAC (iwi and hapū representatives) in accordance with its relevant policy and the ToR; and
- (g) currently TTMAC has no delegated authority from the Council.

3.19 The Te Kaupapa / Mission Statement in the ToR states that TTMAC provides:

23 September 2022

- (a) a forum that emphasises and advocates te Ao Māori; the Māori world view;
- (b) a means by which the Māori perspective is valued, influences and challenges processes and policy;
- (c) a stable platform for whānau, hapū and iwi to connect and communicate with each other;
- (d) a safe haven for open and forthright discussion; and
- (e) strong leadership in consultation with tāngata whenua and Māori communities.

*MTAG*

- 3.20 MTAG is a sub-group of TTMAC and provides technical advice and guidance to the non-elected members of TTMAC (iwi and hapū representatives).
- 3.21 MTAG (previously the Māori Technical Working Party) was endorsed in 2015 to assist the then Te Tai Tokerau Māori Advisory Committee.
- 3.22 MTAG does not have formal delegations or terms of reference.

*Te Kahu o Taonui and ILGACE*

- 3.23 Te Kahu o Taonui was formed in 2006 as a collective of iwi and their Chairs in Te Taitokerau to create opportunities to benefit whanau, hapū and marae. Since its establishment there are now 12 iwi represented in this collective recognised by the iwi authorities of Te Taitokerau independently. These are Ngāti Whatua, Te Roroa, Ngātiwai, Ngāti Hine, Ngāpuhi, Te Rūnanga o Whaingaroa, Kahukuraariki Trust Board, Ngāti Kahu, Te Rarawa, Ngai Takoto, Te Aupōuri, Ngāti Kuri.
- 3.24 Accordingly, TTMAC has eight mandated iwi members actively representing Ngātiwai, Ngāti Hine, Ngāpuhi, Te Aupouri, Te Rarawa, Te Roroa, Te Uri o Hau, Ngāti Whātua and four iwi memberships that are currently vacant. These are Ngāti Kuri, Ngai Takoto, Ngāti Kahu and Ngāti Kahu ki Whaingaroa.
- 3.25 In 2019, Whanaungatanga kī Tauranga – the Relationship Agreement was signed by seven iwi and three local body authorities. The intent of this agreement was to establish a more cohesive governance to governance relationship between Te Kahu o Taonui and local government.
- 3.26 The relationship agreement sets out the common goals and meeting arrangements for the partners.
- 3.27 The ILGACE meets regularly to work on shared goals and outcomes.

**Strategic direction**

- 3.28 A number of planning and other documents have started to shape the strategic direction for the relationship between Māori and the Council. For example:
  - (a) *Te Mahere Roa - Long Term Plan 2021 – 2031* and *Te Pae Tawhiti – Our Vision 2021-2031*, Council;
  - (b) *Strategic Intent 2021-2040*, TTMAC;
  - (c) *Tāiki ē (NRC Te Tiriti Strategy and Implementation Plan)*, Council and TTMAC;
  - (d) Council policy framework documents, such as *Te Whāriki – Māori Responsiveness Policy Framework* (2019);

23 September 2022

- (e) Mana whakahono a rohe under the RMA; and
- (f) Iwi / hapū environmental management plans.

3.29 These are summarised briefly below.

*Te Pae Tawhiti – Our Vision 2021-2031*

3.30 The Council developed *Te Pae Tawhiti – Our Vision 2021-2031* (**Te Pae Tawhiti**), which is a strategic summary document that focuses on community outcomes that the Council wants to achieve and shows the work the Council does, or plans to do, through its Long Term Plan 2021-2031. In particular:

- (a) healthy waters for the environment and our people;
- (b) resilient and adaptable communities in a changing climate;
- (c) protected and flourishing native life;
- (d) meaningful partnerships with tangata whenua;
- (e) a strong and sustainable regional economy; and
- (f) safe and effective transport networks connecting our region.

*TTMAC Strategic Intent 2021-2040*

3.31 A critically important document developed by TTMAC is the *Strategic Intent 2021 – 2040* (**TTMAC Strategic Intent**).

3.32 TTMAC developed the TTMAC Strategic Intent which sets out:

- (a) Te Kaupapa / Mission "*He iwi tahi tatou kia ora ai te taiao – Kāwanatanga and rangatiratanga work together for the wellbeing of the environment*";
- (b) Te Pae Tawhiti / Vision 2014; and
- (c) Ngā Whaingā / Desired Goals for:
  - (i) capacity and capability;
  - (ii) Māori representation;
  - (iii) water / marine;
  - (iv) climate crisis; and
  - (v) economic development; and
- (d) Ngā Tikanga / Values.

3.33 The TTMAC Strategic Intent formed the basis for Tāiki ē which is discussed below.

*Tāiki ē (NRC Te Tiriti Strategy and Implementation Plan)*

3.34 *Tāiki ē (NRC Te Tiriti Strategy and Implementation Plan)* (**Tāiki ē**) was endorsed by TTMAC on 14 July 2022 and adopted by the Council on 26 July 2022. Tāiki ē was prepared by a group including TTMAC representatives.

23 September 2022

- 3.35 Tāiki ē focuses on actions to achieve desired goals for capability and capacity and Māori representation from the TTMAC Strategic Intent (two of the five Ngā Whaingā / desired goals identified in the TTMAC Strategic Intent).
- 3.36 Tāiki ē provides that, "*Ina tere ngā kapua, he hau kei muri – progress is built on applying shared values*". When Tāiki ē was presented to Council for adoption it was described that the ingoa or name Tāiki ē denotes the intent to a collective commitment that, "*we come together collectively to get the mahi done*".
- 3.37 Tāiki ē identifies for each action:
- (a) who is responsible;
  - (b) a timeframe, ranging from underway, commencing within 12 months, 1-3 years and ongoing;
  - (c) the budget allocated to the action (sometimes the action is 'unbudgeted' or within existing resources);
  - (d) the Ngā Whaingā / Goals it will achieve (identified in TTMAC Strategic Intent including, capability, capacity, partnership, Te Tiriti o Waitangi, decision-making and resourcing); and
  - (e) priority according to the actions ability to give effect to Te Kaupapa / Mission.
- 3.38 The 26 actions identified in Tāiki ē are significant and broad ranging – from actions at a strategic / overarching framework level to the development of tools and resources to assist 'on the ground'. By way of an example, some of the actions include:
- (a) establishing the Te Tiriti health check and review framework (part of which includes the carrying out of this independent review);
  - (b) continue to develop Tāiki ē;
  - (c) support and increase uptake of iwi and hapū environmental management plans and mana whakahono a rohe;
  - (d) develop and fund a culturally appropriate Council environmental monitoring programme;
  - (e) deliver specific projects within agreed priority areas of interest;
  - (f) develop and embed a cultural awareness and competency framework for Councillors, staff and relevant consultants;
  - (g) invest in building tangata whenua capacity and capability;
  - (h) develop and maintain a digital tangata whenua contacts database that spatially identifies indicative iwi and hapū rohe boundaries;
  - (i) ensure the successful and ongoing implementation of Māori constituencies; and
  - (j) review Council procurement policies and processes and ensure there are fair and equal opportunities for tangata whenua consultants and contractors to obtain Council contracts.

23 September 2022

*Council policy framework documents*

- 3.39 The Council developed *Te Whāriki: Māori Responsiveness Policy Framework (Te Whāriki)* in December 2019. The purpose of Te Whāriki is to "influence, enhance and guide" the Council's "responsiveness to Māori".
- 3.40 Three Ngā Pou (goals) were identified, being:
- (a) Te Pou Wāhi – resilient Māori communities;
  - (b) Te Pou Tāngata – effective Māori participation; and
  - (c) Te Pou Huarahi – a culturally competent organisation.
- 3.41 Shared principles were also identified that underpin and provide guidance on how to implement Te Whāriki.
- 3.42 For Te Pou Huarahi – a culturally competent organisation, a core cultural competencies framework and training programme was developed. This identifies different competency levels for Te Reo Māori, Tikanga Māori and Te Tiriti o Waitangi. The training programme then identifies which staff within Council are expected to be at which competency level, and establishes a training programme, such as completing specific modules or courses, in order to achieve those competencies.
- 3.43 More specific policy documents have also been prepared, for example *Engaging Cultural Monitors for consented earthworks / land disturbance* (2021). This policy provides clarity and guidance on the processes involved when engaging cultural monitors and is to be read in conjunction with Te Whāriki. This policy framework provides practical guidance to Council staff working in this space and builds on Te Whāriki and other strategic direction.

*Mana whakahono a rohe*

- 3.44 The Council has entered into two mana whakahono a rohe with Te Patuharakeke Iwi Trust Board and Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Rēhia.
- 3.45 The purposes of mana whakahono a rohe are to:
- (a) enable iwi authorities (and hapū authorities where agreed) and local authorities to agree on ways in which tangata whenua (through their iwi authorities) may participate in resource management processes; and
  - (b) assist local authorities in complying with their statutory duties under the RMA, including through the implementation of sections 6(e), 7(a), and 8 of the RMA.
- 3.46 Mana whakahono a rohe are binding statutory arrangements that provide for a more structured relationship under the RMA between iwi and/or hapū authorities and councils. The intention was to improve working relationships between tangata whenua and local authorities, and to enhance Māori participation in RMA resource management and decision-making processes.

*Iwi / hapū environmental management plans*

- 3.47 There are 14 iwi / hapū environmental management plans that have been developed and recognised by an iwi authority and formally lodged with the Council.

**23 September 2022**

- 3.48 The purpose of these documents is to describe resource management issues of importance for tangata whenua and are relevant to both RMA planning and consenting processes.
- 3.49 The Council has specific funding for these plans.

23 September 2022

#### 4. PART FOUR: WHAT IS WORKING WELL?

##### Overarching comments

- 4.1 The 'what is working well' comments below need to be viewed in the context of both the history of the relationship between local authorities and iwi/hapū in Aotearoa and Te Taitokerau, and the fact that there is a long way still to go to achieve genuine partnership in a way that reflects and respects Te Tiriti, He Whakaputanga, and the mana and rangatiratanga of iwi and hapū. As noted earlier, the local government legislation and structures are not designed in a manner that drive stronger partnership with Māori. However, that legislation is more enabling than is often appreciated.
- 4.2 In overall terms, we consider there are some very positive aspects of this partnership between tangata whenua and the Council including:
- (a) the relationship has developed significantly since 2014;
  - (b) there is a huge amount of work going on from both partners to support and develop the partnership – there are high levels of commitment from the iwi/hapū members of the various structures such as TTMAC – although there are some questions about the sustainability of the approach given the huge workloads and demands on those members (commented on further below);
  - (c) there is a genuine desire emerging in the leadership structure of Council to take the next step in the partnership journey, to better understand and embrace Te Tiriti, He Whakaputanga, and tikanga Māori and to deliver something meaningful. The 'hearts and minds' of elected members and senior management are moving in the right direction to deliver some meaningful change. There was a real sense of excitement among Council leadership as to this journey they are on, but there can be challenges for elected members in terms of bringing the community with them;
  - (d) there are very positive structures in place to support the partnership – we heard positive comments about the valuable role that TTMAC has played, and there are a number of other structures and committees in place which are designed to move closer to a partnership approach; and
  - (e) there has been excellent work done on Tāiki ē on the back of the TTMAC Strategic Intent – Tāiki ē is an impressive document that was co-designed through a series of workshops where robust discussions were had, and clear actions and accountability/timeframes and budgetary matters identified.
- 4.3 We consider that this partnership is in a strong place relative to many of the iwi/hapū and local authority relationships across the country. For the reasons outlined above there has been impressive work done particularly over the last few years, and most importantly there is increasingly a leadership level commitment to genuine partnership. We heard that the dial has moved slowly in the right direction, but commitment to keep progressing and tangible outcomes and actions are needed, as is a movement towards genuine partnership where the parties sit equally at the table.
- 4.4 There is still a long way to go on the journey but the discussions we had and the documentation such as Tāiki ē demonstrated that there is commitment to success and to working together. Implementing the actions in Tāiki ē will be one critical element of that success.

23 September 2022

### The journey

- 4.5 Iwi/hapū have been patient in terms of building strong partnership with the Council. For generations iwi/hapū have not been appropriately included in Council business and have observed many negative consequences as a result, including to the whānau and to the taiao.
- 4.6 Iwi/hapū have had to endure a local government system that was designed to maintain the status quo, from which they were effectively excluded. There have been very low levels of respect for, and understanding of, tikanga Māori and the mana, rangatiratanga and perspectives of iwi/hapū.
- 4.7 We heard that the dial is shifting in Te Taitokerau, with stronger levels of understanding and respect in the elected member and management/staff levels of the Council. There are some strong examples of partnership-based approaches emerging, but there is still a long way to go before true partnership is realised in a manner that reflects and respects Te Tiriti, He Whakaputanga, and the mana and rangatiratanga of iwi and hapū.
- 4.8 There has been impressive work undertaken between tangata whenua and the Council. Clearly the partners in recent times have come together determined to forge a new pathway based on partnership. The work done by TTMAC in relation to the TTMAC Strategic Intent and the subsequent agreement of Tāiki ē are powerful examples. What was apparent was the sense of celebration around how Tāiki ē was developed together, how robust conversations were held, and how the parties emerged as partners committed to Tāiki ē and the implementation plan.
- 4.9 There is also a recognition that the parties are at a point in an important journey, but not the end point. There is much work still to do, including the successful implementation of Tāiki ē, but also reflecting on what the ultimate goals and next steps in the partnership may look like. The role of tangata whenua in the decision-making processes of Council will be one important consideration on that journey.

### Commitment from leadership

- 4.10 There is clearly a strong commitment from the leadership of iwi/hapū and the Council to build a stronger partnership between the parties.
- 4.11 It was clear that the iwi/hapū leadership are committed to developing a stronger partnership with Council. That was clear from the fact that, despite the generations of being effectively excluded from Council business, iwi/hapū leaders continued to show leadership in terms of how a partnership could be developed and the importance of that partnership for not only iwi/hapū but for all of Te Taitokerau. That commitment must be acknowledged as a central ingredient in the positive gains that have been made.
- 4.12 We observed a strong desire within the Council leadership structures to continue the journey that the partners are on. There was a recognition that the requisite standards had not been met in the past and that there was still a lot to do. There was, however, a clearly discernible desire within the Council leadership to form genuine partnerships and to move forward in a meaningful way.
- 4.13 There were comments made to recognise the strong leadership shown by the chair of the Council and the chief executive who is about to finish at the Council. The same was said of the incoming chief executive who similarly had a strong understanding and motivation to develop strong partnerships. That leadership is also critical.

23 September 2022

4.14 There is also a sense of celebration in the Council leadership in terms of the work that had been done to date, and the collaborative way in which that work was done between the parties.

4.15 That commitment is significant as it is not always apparent in local government leadership.

#### **Commitment to embracing Te Tiriti, He Whakaputanga, and tikanga Māori**

4.16 It was clear that the partners had worked together to assist the Council to develop a stronger understanding of Te Tiriti, He Whakaputanga, and tikanga Māori. Elected members and staff spoke extremely highly in terms of the opportunity to visit marae and to be educated on tikanga Māori, including through the adoption and implementation of Te Whāriki.

4.17 We also heard from Council leadership of the strong intention to focus on Te Tiriti (rather than the Treaty) and to see the partnership through the tangata whenua lens.

4.18 There is a desire to respect He Whakaputanga, but clearly the partners need to work through how to do that in the Council context.

#### **Strong structures have been implemented**

4.19 As noted earlier in this report, there are a number of different structures in place to guide the partnership. That is a positive sign, as it is important to embed the partnership in all of the governance, management and operational layers of the Council.

4.20 We heard positive comments about the valuable role that TTMAC and MTAG have played, and there are a number of other structures and committees in place which are designed to move closer to a partnership approach.

4.21 One matter that was particularly apparent though was the significant personal commitment from the iwi/hapū members that participate in these Council structures (many members are in multiple roles). There is a real need to better support those members including through resourcing.

#### **A plan is in place**

4.22 As noted, there has been excellent work done on Tāiki ē that has now been adopted by the Council.

4.23 Tāiki ē was developed following the important foundational work undertaken by TTMAC in its strategic intent document.

4.24 Tāiki ē is an impressive document that was co-designed through a series of workshops where robust discussions were had.

4.25 There are clear actions and accountability/timeframes and budgetary matters identified in Tāiki ē, and there were very positive comments made as to the co-design process for preparing Tāiki ē, and the fact that Council and tangata whenua have a clear action plan they are committed to. The successful implementation of Tāiki ē will be a critical determining factor in the future success of the partnership.

#### **The Council team**

4.26 We also heard very positive comments on the role of Pou Manawhakahaere – Group Manager Governance and Engagement and that team in guiding the kaupapa within the Council and within the partnership structures.

**23 September 2022**

4.27 The significant impact that that team is having was plainly visible to us through this process, and must be acknowledged.

**There is still a lot to do**

4.28 While we heard very positive comments on the progress that is being made and the structures and documents in place (such as TTMAC and Tāiki ē), there was a consistent view that this was a starting point and there is a lot more to do. Importantly, the current approach must be secured through and beyond the local government elections this year.

4.29 Beyond the elections, there are opportunities to implement Tāiki ē effectively, and look to stronger partnerships and structures in the future, particularly in the areas of, for example, shared decision-making and transfers of powers.

23 September 2022

## 5. PART FIVE: WHAT ARE THE CHALLENGES / OPPORTUNITIES?

- 5.1 Our assessment identified a number of challenges and opportunities for the relationship between the Council and Māori Tiriti partners and collectives. While many of the Māori focussed groups had indicated a strong support, there were differences in perspective about implementation of Te Tiriti o Waitangi.
- 5.2 In this part, we consider a number of challenges and opportunities:
- (a) the importance of continuing to support a culture shift and increasing staff capability and capacity in Te Tiriti and te ao Māori;
  - (b) the importance of understanding the internal Māori landscape and the need to protect and safeguard Māori representatives in Council structures;
  - (c) the importance of ensuring governance and operations align within Council;
  - (d) the trajectory of the relationship between Māori and the Council over the long-term;
  - (e) opportunities to support the delegation of decision-making to Māori and co-designing frameworks;
  - (f) the level of funding and resourcing to deliver on Te Tiriti obligations;
  - (g) the 'who' question – opportunity for the Council to develop a better understanding of who to deal with and in what context; and
  - (h) legislative uncertainty as to what can be achieved in the partnership.

### **A culture shift – increasing staff capability and capacity in Te Tiriti and He Whakaputanga**

- 5.3 A number of Māori groups emphasised that there is a need to continue to support a culture shift within Council. A number of TTMAC members commented that Council do not always fully understand the Māori worldview and the mindset of having to ensure tino rangatiratanga is upheld. Although there have been positive developments over a number of years, many felt that staff capability and capacity within Council remains an issue for improvement.
- 5.4 Some mana whenua groups supported involving hapū or iwi (perhaps through TTMAC):
- (a) to be involved in the recruiting and appointment processes for key staff positions in Council, and to encourage secondments between iwi and the Council (and vice-versa) to enable each party to understand the unique context in which each party operates;
  - (b) to be involved or have direct input into setting key performance measures for key roles within Council, and monitoring ongoing progress or achievement;
  - (c) in a secondment framework, so that iwi and hapū could spend a designated amount of time working within the Council structure. Some kaitiaki groups supported the idea of having Council staff be required to work within iwi and hapū groups on secondment, so that Council staff learn of the constraints and limitations that iwi and hapū work within; and
  - (d) have an open-door policy to allow Māori to raise issues with relevant staff rather than just the Iwi Relationship Manager. Some groups offered to run some 'hapū 101s' which have not been taken up.

**23 September 2022**

- 5.5 We received comments that Council staff needed to continue to familiarise themselves with mana whakahono a rohe and their respective hapū management plans. There were concerns expressed that certain mana whakahono a rohe had 'not eventuated into much'.
- 5.6 Some considered that there is an internal lack of understanding as to the role of the Council as a Tiriti partner. It was also commented that there was inconsistent engagement by the consents team with them as mana whenua, and the type of engagement depended on the particular project at hand and the Council staff working on the project.
- 5.7 ELT commented that, in respect of He Whakaputanga, the Council does not have a strong understanding of He Whakaputanga and Te Tiriti even though Council staff have had Te Tiriti training. Members of ELT noted there is a need to understand how Te Tiriti aligns with He Whakaputanga and how those principles can be articulated to Council and embedded throughout the Council's key strategic documents and operations.
- 5.8 ELT commented that it is important for Council to develop the confidence to navigate Te Tiriti relationships and expectations properly. In addition, concerns were also raised around the understanding of what can be achieved within the statutory framework in the context of partnerships with Māori, as it had been suggested that the statutory framework was constraining the ability to move to the next level of partnership (which is not necessarily the case).
- 5.9 Some MTAG members felt as though internal staff were not always familiar with Māori dynamics and structures.
- 5.10 Some kaitiaki felt it was positive that the Council has a good understanding of the maramataka, and increased focus on karakia and mātauranga. However, learning and embedding is a long journey.

**Understanding the internal Māori landscape and tensions for Māori representatives in Council structures**

- 5.11 We heard from a number of Māori representatives in Council structures, that there are often difficulties in managing hapū and iwi interests / expectations within those Council structures. Māori representatives are required to make decisions on behalf of Māori often within quick timeframes, and sometimes it is difficult to have an opportunity to engage with hapū and iwi when operating within Council timeframes.
- 5.12 We consider it is important for the Council to be aware of that internal landscape and the challenges that can have for Māori representatives on Council structures. This is something to be borne in mind when setting agendas or in the context of certain action points / work programmes, and the Council should ensure there are sufficient safeguards for those representatives to manage those challenges, such as providing appropriate timeframes for engaging with hapū and iwi and responding to matters.

**Ensuring governance and operations align within Council**

- 5.13 Some kaitiaki groups commented that the discussions that take place at a governance level between Māori representative groups and Council do not always filter down to the operational staff. For example, discussions with Councillors are positive but projects are often controlled by key Council contractors or planners who make the operational decisions that can exclude some Māori.

**23 September 2022**

- 5.14 It was commented that the Councillors are receptive during presentations, but the traction does not filter to an operational level. At the ELT meeting, one member commented that there may be a certain disjunct between governance and operations and that was a 'fair assessment'.
- 5.15 TTMAC members commented that there is sometimes little awareness of what is happening 'on the ground' and that the Council needs to ensure it maintains a presence at hapū and iwi occasions.
- 5.16 Some Councillors noted that the process of consents is 'fraught' because there are certain things Māori expect that cannot always be done within the statutory framework. Comments were made that the Council tries to give Māori a longer 'heads up' but the level of discretion the Council has over granting consents is not as much as Māori sometimes think. One ELT member commented, for example, that the legislation does not allow for hapū and iwi to consult forever. However, if Māori had more capacity and resources, they may be able to contribute in a more meaningful way.
- 5.17 One Councillor at the Councillor's meeting noted that there are structural barriers and there is a 'hands-off' approach to consenting which might not pass the 'partnership' principle under Te Tiriti o Waitangi.
- 5.18 Some kaitiaki noted that governance discussions can sometimes be characterised as 'lip service and fluffy duck stuff' that do not always 'filter down' into operations.

**The trajectory of the relationship over the long-term**

- 5.19 A number of groups we interviewed commented on the positive developments that have occurred in the relationship generally with Council and Māori. However, many noted the steps taken should be viewed with a long-term lens, and in that respect there is still a long way to go to fully embed Te Tiriti and to lay a foundation for working forward together (as discussed in part four).
- 5.20 The Councillors generally considered that good progress has been made to embed Māori frameworks into Council, particularly through the work TTMAC and MTAG are doing. At a governance level, the Councillors considered that it is positive that there will be Māori Councillors, which will support the willingness to listen and learn for consensus at the Council. Some Councillors cautioned against being lulled into a false sense of security in terms of how the Council is implementing Te Tiriti.
- 5.21 ELT commented positively that the Council has a willingness and openness to work with Māori. While the Council might not always get it right, there is a genuine desire to do the right thing for Māori. The challenge was that the pace of change is sometimes slower than what Māori might expect.
- 5.22 ELT were positive about the ability and willingness of the Council to front up when it needed to, and that Council was not 'faceless' but have a direct relationship with Māori. However, Council was not always confident or consistent in their approach but had made some positive developments.
- 5.23 ELT commented that the Council now has 'reasonable governance systems and processes in place', particularly in relation to TTMAC. ELT commented that, to ensure TTMAC remains influential, it is not perceived as a Council construct by hapū and iwi. There is also a need for better alignment of expectations.
- 5.24 Relationships were broadly conceived, and included as directing actions, to obtaining funding, facilitation and engagement.

**23 September 2022**

- 5.25 A Councillor noted that the Council needs to take the time and invest resources to work with mana whenua to do more joint work together. For example, putting in a submission to government on three waters, resource management law reform etc.
- 5.26 One kaitiaki noted that there is a need for the Council to know 'who is in the hood', who to consult with and ensure that the Māori liaising teams are trained in the basics of a consent process.

**Supporting the delegation of decision-making and co-designing frameworks**

- 5.27 Many Māori commented that there needs to be increased decision-making given to appropriate Māori within the Council structures. Overall, Māori and senior executive management spoke positively about the influence and intention of the Council, but that expectations were not always clear or being met in terms of decision-making of Māori.
- 5.28 TTMAC in particular made strong comments that TTMAC only making recommendations to Council was not always satisfactory. The level of influence that TTMAC has is positive, but there may need to be more delegations. This was consistent with ELT's view that TTMAC has been a 'good platform' supported by a strong Māori engagement team and frameworks. Some of the TTMAC members are on other working groups, such as the Biodiversity Working Party, Water and Land Policy and Co-Governance.
- 5.29 Some TTMAC members were positive about the influence that TTMAC has had over the long-term but noted that the challenge is to ensure it has impact across Te Taitokerau generally.
- 5.30 ELT noted there could be earlier co-design of solutions for hapū in certain areas, for example flood schemes and stop banks. Ultimately, however, the success of these initiatives can come down to resources.
- 5.31 MTAG commented that their role is largely determined by the Council's agenda and framework, and they do not get the chance or the resources to consider matters of their own motion that are important to Māori. MTAG members considered they also need a space to create their own agenda. Some MTAG members considered that the agenda for MTAG meetings has been pre-determined and resolutions are already drafted. It was also noted that the Council did not clearly communicate back to iwi/hapū how their input has been addressed.

**The level of funding and resourcing to support delivery of Te Tiriti obligations**

- 5.32 Nearly all Māori groups within Council (TTMAC and MTAG), and kaitiaki outside of the formal Council structure, raised the issue of funding and resourcing to support capability for and delivery of Te Tiriti obligations. There are a number of threads to consider:
- (a) The internal funding of key Māori structures within Council: Members of TTMAC and MTAG noted that the pay for daily fees is low. For TTMAC, there is a set meeting fee for members but the fee only remunerates for attendance at meetings. The meeting fee does not recognise or remunerate for the significant amount of work preparing for meetings or subsequent work post-meetings. Similarly, MTAG members considered the fee structure was not fair given the sometimes very lengthy agendas.
  - (b) The funding of external kaitiaki groups to deliver on their own aspirations for te taiao and how they want to work with the Council: We received comments that kaitiaki are not well resourced, particularly where they do not have a Treaty settlement unlike some of the other

**23 September 2022**

Te Taitokerau tangata whenua. Also, kaitiaki individually were not always paid on particular consent projects or applications. Concerns were raised about the level of funding and resourcing and it was noted that kaitiaki staff are involved in the field work but do not want to lead their 'on the ground' projects. It was emphasised that the Council cannot be said to be complying with the spirit and intent of Te Tiriti o Waitangi if it is not resourced and Māori are not given opportunities to lead projects.

- 5.33 TTMAC commented that the strategic intent and aspirations of the Council also need to be reflected in budget setting across the Council's work programme.
- 5.34 It was also commented that while resourcing and capacity remained an ongoing issue, there were some positive developments. For example, those sitting on the Tangata Whenua Advisory Panel were finally being paid at a 'normal rate'.

**Sharing of information**

- 5.35 Some Māori felt as though information was not shared in an efficient or timely way.
- 5.36 MTAG members considered that they did not always feel fully briefed, have limited time and do not want to miss opportunities for tangata whenua.
- 5.37 Some MTAG members commented that the 'feedback loop' does not always exist. Some MTAG members feel as though they do not always know the outcome of a particular issue they have recommended to Council, and if those recommendations were adopted by Council. For example, an insufficient amount of time was provided prior to the Long-Term Plan being reviewed by MTAG.
- 5.38 Some TTMAC members commented that internal communication between Council staff is not always consistent, and that there needs to be a clear agreed communications strategy internally and externally.

23 September 2022

## 6. PART SIX: RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 Our recommendations are set out below:

- (a) the partners should develop a shared view of what successful partnership looks like in the immediate, medium and long-term, and plot a pathway towards that, including where appropriate through the evolution of the current structures and the movement towards shared decision-making models and other models (including transfers of powers);
- (b) the current state is a positive point in the journey, but it should not be seen as the end point – that must be clearly conveyed, including to the incoming Council, as there is still significant work to be done;
- (c) that an agreed work programme be developed that allows the Council and its Treaty partners to identify areas where they share a common objective or view, and then a process for working towards a joint outcome (for example, on major legislative reform such as the RMA or the three waters reform);
- (d) the issue of the extent of legislative constraints should be addressed and tested, so the partners have a clear view of what is possible in terms of the future shape of the partnership (in other words, the legislation does not necessarily operate as an impediment to the partnership aspirations of partners);
- (e) Tāiki ē should continue to be implemented, funded and reviewed with key performance and review measures that Council and TTMAC can agree on – that is an excellent plan for moving forward and will support a successful long-term partnership;
- (f) consider how tangata whenua members of the various structures can be fully resourced and supported to contribute and participate – the current demands on a small number of people are too high and that is not sustainable (including, for example, by partnering on applications for funding from the Crown);
- (g) set clear parameters for funding Tiriti partners to participate in Council processes and projects involving mana whenua;
- (h) ensure that the Council is clear on which iwi/hapū/other entities it should be talking to in each context and prepare a clear strategy / direction for this. This could also involve building off some of the Tāiki ē actions, for example action 20 and the development of spatial maps etc;
- (i) ensure that tangata whenua feedback on proposals (eg through TTMAC and MTAG) is clearly recorded and reported back on;
- (j) consult with and/or involve Māori in processes for recruitment and appointment of Council staff (which has been the practice in relation to senior appointments), and to explore opportunities for secondment of Māori to Council or vice versa, as appropriate. In the first instance the Council could undertake a review of its process for appointments and seek further direction / input from tangata whenua on how that could be improved and informed by tangata whenua. For example, the options could include feedback on job description criteria and demonstrating an understanding of Te Tiriti o Waitangi;

23 September 2022

- (k) implement a regular review of processes for internal communication and planning between governance and operations staff at the Council, to ensure consistency of engagement and delivery on projects and Te Tiriti objectives;
  - (l) develop (or continue to develop and implement through Te Whāriki) an agreed work plan on building the capability and capacity of Councillors and Council staff in Te Tiriti (as set out in Te Whāriki) and He Whakaputanga, and implement regular reviews of the work programme. The scope of the capability and capacity training programme could be agreed with TTMAC and/or kaitiaki as appropriate, and would include both the historical circumstances surrounding the signing of Te Tiriti and He Whakaputanga as well as contemporary views and perspectives on how those obligations apply today;
  - (m) develop a clear framework for the identification and appropriate treatment of mātauranga Māori within the operations of the Council and how it can be protected and developed in Tāiki ē. The methodology for the mātauranga Māori framework could be developed in conjunction with TTMAC and informed by the Waitangi Tribunal's Wai 262 report; and
  - (n) identify the specific issues of Māori in relation to the considering and granting of resource consents and develop a regular plan and review mechanism to respond to issues raised by hapū and iwi.
- 6.2 We also recommend that this report and its recommendations be presented to the incoming Council. We acknowledge that the priority for the Council was to complete and receive this report prior to the 2022 elections and that the implementation and adoption of the recommendations in this report will need to be considered by the incoming Council.
- 6.3 Below we set out a table identifying the six priority areas identified by MTAG and, based on the matters outlined in this report, provide a summary assessment of each of those areas. We also provide a competency level for each priority area – the competency levels identified in the Te Arawhiti framework range from 'unfamiliar', 'comfortable', 'confident' to 'capable'.

<b>Summary assessment of six priority areas identified by MTAG (based on Te Arawhiti framework)</b>
<b>1. Governance: Understanding of Māori council relations priorities</b>
For the reasons explained in this report, we consider the Council to be moving towards 'confident' in terms of the Te Arawhiti framework, but there is a way still to go.
<b>2. Relationships with Māori: Relationship management</b>
For the reasons explained in this report, we consider the Council to be moving towards 'confident' in terms of the Te Arawhiti framework, but there is a way still to go.
<b>3. Relationships with Māori: Engagement, partnerships and empowerment</b>
For the reasons explained in this report, we consider the Council to be moving towards 'confident' in terms of the Te Arawhiti framework, but there is a way still to go.

23 September 2022

<b>4. Relationships with Māori: Procurement</b>
We note that this is covered in part in actions 24 and 25 of Tāiki ē, but it will need to be implemented. We consider the Council to be moving from 'unfamiliar' towards 'comfortable' in terms of the Te Arawhiti framework, provided those actions are implemented.
<b>5. Structural: Addressing institutional racism</b>
We note that this is covered in part in action 17 of Tāiki ē – this report discusses the need for ongoing work on cultural competency and understanding of and respect for tikanga and mātauranga Māori in the work of the Council. We consider the Council is moving from 'unfamiliar' towards 'comfortable' in terms of the Te Arawhiti framework, but there is a way still to go.
<b>6. Policy and services: Evaluation</b>
We consider there is more work to do in the area of evaluation and implementing Tāiki ē effectively will be key to that (noting the 'monitoring and review' section at the end of Tāiki ē). We consider the Council is moving from 'unfamiliar' towards 'comfortable' in terms of the Te Arawhiti framework, but there is a way still to go.

**TE TIRITI HEALTH CHECK – PROPOSED WORK PROGRAMME**

**BY**

**WHĀIA LEGAL & BUDDLE FINDLAY**

**FOR**

**TE KAUNIHERA Ā ROHE O TE TAITOKERAU / NORTHLAND REGIONAL COUNCIL**

**[30 JUNE 2022]**

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

- 1.1 Buddle Findlay and Whāia Legal (the **Providers**) have been engaged by Te Kaunihera ā Rohe o Te Taitokerau / Northland Regional Council (**Council**) to undertake a Treaty health check. The Treaty health check is an independent assessment by the Providers of how the Council implements its Treaty obligations in engaging with tangata whenua and mana whenua.
- 1.2 The purpose of this document is to set out the relevant background and propose a work programme to undertake the Treaty health check.

### **Background**

- 1.3 At their March 2022 meeting, the non-elected members of Te Taitokerau Māori and Council Working Party (**TTMAC**) endorsed that the Treaty health check:
  - (a) be delivered as a joint executive/governance initiative;
  - (b) be underpinned by the Te Arawhiti framework (refer **Appendix 1**); and
  - (c) adopt the Māori Technical Advisory Group's (**MTAG**) benchmarking as a starting position.
- 1.4 In March 2022, MTAG provided initial direction by using the Te Arawhiti framework and identified the following six priority areas for the Treaty health check:
  - (a) Governance: Understanding of Māori council relations priorities;
  - (b) Relationships with Māori: Relationship management;
  - (c) Relationships with Māori: Engagement, partnerships and empowerment;
  - (d) Relationships with Māori: Procurement;
  - (e) Structural: Addressing institutional racism; and
  - (f) Policy and services: Evaluation.

## **2. SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY**

- 2.1 Consistent with the above, the Providers have been instructed to carry out the Treaty health check as follows:
  - (a) Focus on the six priority areas identified by MTAG;
  - (b) Use the Te Arawhiti framework to assess Council's performance in these six priority areas;
  - (c) Review Council documentation provided by the Council as relevant to these six priority areas;
  - (d) Conduct workshops with the following groups to obtain feedback on the Council's performance in the six priority areas:
    - (i) Executive Team;
    - (ii) Councillors;
    - (iii) TTMAC and MTAG and
    - (iv) Kaitiaki identified by Council

- (e) Confer with Māori Relationships Manager who is also carrying out a survey of Council staff to obtain feedback in the six priority areas;
  - (f) Prepare a report summarising findings and setting out recommendations for each priority area;
  - (g) Present the draft report to ELT and TTMAC and consider any feedback;
  - (h) Prepare a final report and present this to Council and TTMAC (if budget allows).
- 2.2 The Providers acknowledge that there are differing views as to the status and relevance of the Treaty of Waitangi / Te Tiriti o Waitangi in the Northland Region. The Providers understand those questions to be outside the scope of this Treaty health check.

### 3. PROPOSED WORK PROGRAMME

3.1 The Providers propose the following work programme for the delivery of the above.

Date	Action
Thursday 7 July, 9-11AM [Paul and Tai are available at 10-11AM]	ELT meeting – review this work programme and provide any feedback
Thursday 7 July, 2-4PM [Paul and Rahera are available at 3-4PM]	MTAG meeting – review this work programme and provide any feedback
Friday 8 July	Providers finalise work programme
Thursday 14 July, 9.30-11AM [Both Paul and Tai are unavailable this day. Frances and Rahera are available but you may prefer to schedule a workshop outside the normal meeting schedule to a time when Tai and Paul are both available? NB Paul is unavailable between 9 and 24 July.]	TTMAC caucus meeting – workshop
Thursday 21 July, 9-11AM [Paul is unavailable this day but Tai and Frances can run this. Alternatively we could hold it on 4 August.]	ELT meeting – workshop
Wednesday 3 August [We are all available]	Council workshop – workshop
Between Monday 11 July and Wednesday 3 August	Individual interviews/workshops arranged with Kaitiaki / other individuals identified by Council.
By 3 August	Kim Peita shares results of internal staff surveys with Providers
3-8 August	Preparation of draft report [NB draft report needs to be finalised by 8 August to be on the agenda in time for the 11 August meetings]
12 August	TTMAC workshop – present draft report and receive any feedback

<b>Date</b>	<b>Action</b>
[Paul and Frances are unavailable on 11 August – could a session be arranged on 12 August instead?]	
12 August [Paul and Frances are unavailable on 11 August – could a session be arranged on 12 August instead?]	ELT meeting – present draft report and receive any feedback
12-17 August	Finalise report [NB report needs to be finalised by 17 August to be on the agenda in time for the 23 August Council meeting]
23 August	Present final report to Council

#### **4. PROPOSED QUESTIONS FOR WORKSHOPS**

- 4.1 As set out above, workshops will be held with the ELT, TTMAC, Councillors and select kaitiaki and staff identified by Council. The workshops will be held with ELT, TTMAC and Councillors collectively rather than with individual members of those groups. However, Council will also identify a limited number of particular kaitiaki and individuals to meet with separately.
- 4.2 To ensure that we cover the six priority areas at each workshop, we propose to canvass the following questions at each workshop. The questions below are indicative only. Some questions may be more relevant for certain groups or individuals and therefore may be clarified or expanded upon as required.

##### **Introductory/overview**

- 4.3 What are Council's strengths in working with Māori?
- 4.4 What are Council's strengths in working in a manner that is consistent with the Treaty and its principles?
- 4.5 What opportunities are there for improvement?

##### **Priority 1: Understanding of Māori council relations priorities**

- 4.6 Does Council have a good understanding of the commitments it has to Māori:
- (a) In legislation or law more broadly?
  - (b) In Treaty settlements?
  - (c) In other arrangements (partnership agreements, memoranda of understanding etc) that have been entered into?
- 4.7 Do Council's accountability documents reflect Council's obligations to Māori?
- 4.8 How does the Council apply a Treaty lens to its business?
- 4.9 Do Māori have the opportunity to input directly into Council's business? If so, how?
- 4.10 What resources are available to Council to support its relationships with Māori?
- 4.11 Does the Council measure its performance in working with Māori and incorporating Treaty principles in its business? How does it do this?

##### **Priority 2: Relationship management**

- 4.12 How would you describe the strength of the relationship between Council and iwi / hapū in the rohe?
- 4.13 What could be improved?
- 4.14 How does the Council generally manage its relationship and engagement with Māori?
- 4.15 What formal relationship management arrangements does Council have in place? What are the strengths of these arrangements and how are they delivered on by Council? What could be improved?
- 4.16 What informal relationship management arrangements are in place?

- 4.17 Are there relationships at different levels of Council (eg Councillors, ELT, staff, contractors)? Are relationships with Māori primarily maintained at an individual staff level, or more collectively by Council? What are some of the strengths and weaknesses between different departments of Councils? What opportunities are there for departments within Council to learn from other departments?
- 4.18 What role (if any) has the Council had in the Waitangi Tribunal district inquiry?
- 4.19 Has there been litigation between the Council and Māori? If so, what impacts has that had on the relationship? Are there any learnings that could be applied in the future?
- 4.20 What familiarity/confidence do Council staff have in tikanga Māori concepts? In te reo? How important is this to Māori in managing relationships?
- 4.21 What information and resources are available to help support Council and Māori?
- 4.22 Are staff confident in identifying the Māori groups who may have an interest in a particular area/issue?

**Priority 3: Engagement, partnerships and empowerment**

- 4.23 What models of engagement are employed at the current time? What is working well? What could be improved?
- 4.24 Does the Council have an engagement framework?
- 4.25 Does the Council have an engagement plan when working on projects/initiatives of significance to Māori?
- 4.26 When and how are Māori engaged in the process?
- 4.27 Is the engagement ongoing?
- 4.28 Is the engagement meaningful? What role do Māori have? Is there a decision-making role and if so on what types of issues?

**Priority 4: Procurement**

- 4.29 What does current Council procurement policy/guideline say about involving Māori businesses in Council procurement processes?
- 4.30 Are Treaty considerations considered relevant to procurement processes, and if so how?
- 4.31 How are Māori made aware of opportunities to provide services to Council?
- 4.32 Does Council monitor the level of uptake by Māori (i.e., the number of applications made by Māori businesses, the success rate etc?)
- 4.33 Does Council seek feedback from Māori businesses and service providers on procurement processes?

**Priority 5: Addressing institutional racism**

- 4.34 What barriers might impede Māori from working effectively with Council (and vice versa)?
  - (a) Cultural barriers? (eg lack of understanding of te reo or tikanga?)

- (b) Structural barriers? (eg lack of processes to ensure Māori are engaged with appropriately, unawareness of Treaty considerations, lack of decision-making role?)
- (c) Resource and capacity barriers (eg lack of funding, skilled personnel, support either within Council or Māori etc?)
- (d) Information/communication barriers (eg lack of appropriate channels for communication with / between Māori)

4.35 What could be improved?

**Policy 6: Evaluation**

4.36 What systems does Council have in place for monitoring and evaluating its relationships with Māori?

4.37 What could be improved?

**Concluding comments/questions**

4.38 Are there immediate priorities that Council can easily address?

4.39 Is there anything we haven't covered today that you would like to address?